

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)
(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

To:

INAOKA, Kosaku
c/o AI Association of Patent and Trademark Attorneys
Sun Mullion NBF Tower, 21st Floor
6-12, Minamihommachi 2-chome, Chuo-ku
Osaka-shi, Osaka 5410054
JAPON

Received

MAY. 22. 2006

AI Association of Patent
IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 11 May 2006 (11.05.2006)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 5074-PCT	
International application No. PCT/JP2004/009353	International filing date (day/month/year) 25 June 2004 (25.06.2004)
Applicant KYOCERA CORPORATION et al	

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

None

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Masashi Honda
Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35	Facsimile No.+41 22 338 70 10

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 5074-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/JP2004/009353	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 25 June 2004 (25.06.2004)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 27 June 2003 (27.06.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant KYOCERA CORPORATION			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 *bis*.1(a).
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

Date of issuance of this report 01 May 2006 (01.05.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Authorized officer Masashi Honda Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT
TRANSLATION

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 5074-PCT		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
International application No. PCT/JP2004/009353		International filing date (day/month/year) 25.06.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 27.06.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC			
Applicant KYOCERA CORPORATION			

<p>1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. I</td> <td>Basis of the opinion</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. II</td> <td>Priority</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. III</td> <td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. IV</td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. V</td> <td>Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. VI</td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. VII</td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Box No. VIII</td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion									Box No. II	Priority									Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability									Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention									Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									Box No. VI	Certain documents cited									Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application									Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application																
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																																																																			
Box No. I	Basis of the opinion																																																																																											
Box No. II	Priority																																																																																											
Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																																																																																											
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention																																																																																											
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																																																																																											
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited																																																																																											
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application																																																																																											
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application																																																																																											
<p>2. FURTHER ACTION</p> <p>If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.</p> <p>If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.</p> <p>For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.</p>																																																																																												
<p>3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.</p>																																																																																												

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer
Façsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/009353

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 in written format
 in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009353

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																									
<p>1. Statement</p> <table> <tr> <td>Novelty (N)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>3, 4, 6, 7, 9-14, 16-22</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1, 2, 5, 8, 15</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Inventive step (IS)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 16-19, 21, 22</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-5, 8, 10, 13-15, 20</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-22</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> </table>			Novelty (N)	Claims	3, 4, 6, 7, 9-14, 16-22	YES		Claims	1, 2, 5, 8, 15	NO	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 16-19, 21, 22	YES		Claims	1-5, 8, 10, 13-15, 20	NO	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-22	YES		Claims		NO
Novelty (N)	Claims	3, 4, 6, 7, 9-14, 16-22	YES																							
	Claims	1, 2, 5, 8, 15	NO																							
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 16-19, 21, 22	YES																							
	Claims	1-5, 8, 10, 13-15, 20	NO																							
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-22	YES																							
	Claims		NO																							
<p>2. Citations and explanations:</p> <p>Document 1: JP 2000-109993 A (Sumitomo Special Metals, Co., Ltd.) 18 April 2000 Document 2: JP 8-88305 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.) 02 April 1996 Document 3: JP 2002-371382 A (Murata Mfg. Co. Ltd.) 26 December 2002 Document 4: JP 6-302469 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.) 28 October 1994</p> <p>The inventions of claims 1, 2 are described in documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR, and thus they do not appear to possess novelty or involve an inventive step.</p> <p>The inventions of claims 3 and 4 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on documents 1 and 2.</p> <p>The inventions of claims 5 and 8 are described in documents 3 and 4 cited in the ISR, and thus they do not appear to possess novelty or involve an inventive step.</p> <p>The inventions of claims 10, 13, and 14 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on documents 3 and 4.</p> <p>The invention of claim 15 is described in documents 1 cited in the ISR, and thus it does not appear to possess novelty or involve an inventive step.</p> <p>The invention of claim 20 does not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1.</p> <p>The inventions of claims 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 16-19, 21 and 22 are neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p>																										